Changes in spring arrival date and timing of breeding of Ring-billed Gulls in southern Québec over four decades
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ABSTRACT. Understanding how birds cope with climate change has received much attention in recent years. So far, more emphasis has been given to passerine species than to any other groups of birds, possibly because of the availability of long-term data sets. Our objective was to study the effect of climate change on spring arrival date and breeding chronology of Ring-billed Gulls (Larus delawarensis), a short-distance migrant with a diverse diet. Based on Étude des Populations d'Oiseaux du Québec (EPOQ) checklists, we found that gulls arrived in southern Québec five days earlier in 2012 than in 1971. Sporadic observations in three nearby colonies indicated that Ring-billed gulls laid eggs eight days earlier in 2012 than they did in 1978. Both arrival and laying dates closely fit temperature warming. Because of their diverse diet, Ring-billed Gulls always have access to some food resources during the breeding period making a mismatch between phenology and food abundance unlikely. Continuous warming may enhance the use of agricultural lands by gulls before and during the breeding period. However, this may not be sufficient to compensate for a reduction of refuse accessibility at landfills that have implemented deterrence programs.

INTRODUCTION

Climate change has been linked to shifts in phenology for many species (Parmesan 2007). Global warming induces changes in local weather and environmental conditions, modifying the optimal timing of life-history events. Temperature en route or at breeding ground destinations is considered the most reliable cue used by birds to time their spring migration (Both et al. 2005). With temperature warming at temperate latitudes, there is much evidence that many species are advancing their migration and breeding schedule although some species have not yet responded or have delayed these events (Butler 2003, Ellwood et al. 2010, Hurlbert and Liang 2012). So far, more emphasis has been given to smaller passerine species possibly because of the availability of long-term data sets. However, understanding how other groups of birds respond to climate changes may help to determine the underlying mechanisms and consequences.

Besides the constraints of endogenous and circannual rhythms, life-history and ecological traits may also explain differences in arrival dates. For instance, short-distance migrants generally advance their arrival date on the breeding grounds at a faster rate than long-distance migrants (Végvári et al. 2010, Saino et al. 2011). It has been suggested that these species can more easily assess changes in weather conditions at their destination compared with birds wintering further south that must rely on more general cues to initiate their migration. Furthermore, Végvári et al. (2010) have found that generalist feeders might be...
able to better respond to climate change because they can more easily find appropriate food during migration and upon arrival on the breeding grounds. They can also feed their offspring during a longer period of food availability compared with specialists that must match a short burst of resources to feed their young as observed for many migratory insect-eating passerines (Visser et al. 2006).

Mismatch of seasonal events such as migration and breeding with resource availability can greatly affect reproductive output of animals and thus population dynamics (Durant et al. 2007, Møller et al. 2008, Both et al. 2010). In migratory birds, the optimal date of spring arrival is crucial because individuals arriving too soon on their breeding grounds may encounter suboptimal weather conditions and be confronted by a lack of food resources. Alternatively, those arriving too late may be restricted to poor quality nest sites and may face food shortages if competitors are present or if they miss the peak of food availability. Population declines are thus expected for species that cannot adjust their phenology (Saino et al. 2011).

Our first objective was to evaluate the effect of climate change on arrival date on the breeding grounds of a short-distance migrant with a diverse diet, the Ring-billed Gull (Larus delawarensis). We studied a population that breeds on islands in the Saint-Lawrence River in southern Québec and winters in eastern United States (Pollet et al. 2012), which is considered a short-distance migration (Butler 2003). These gulls feed on a wide variety of items including food waste from landfills, annelids, and arthropods (Lagrenade and Mousseau 1981, Brousseau et al. 1996, Caron-Beaudoin et al. 2013). Increasing temperatures and rainfall should contribute to earlier snowmelt and soil thawing providing earlier access to agricultural fields that are preferentially used by nesting Ring-billed gulls (Patenaude-Monette et al. 2014). We therefore predicted that temperature increases and rainfall should incite the gulls to arrive earlier in southern Québec because they would be able to forage in agricultural fields earlier in spring.

The second objective was to look at the effect of changes in arrival date and climate on the timing of breeding. Earthworms are important for egg production because they are composed of 60-70% proteins (Houston et al. 1983, Edwards et al. 2011). Greater earthworm availability associated with increased temperatures and rainfall (Sibly and McCleery 1983) should provide nutrients that would allow the gulls to initiate their clutch earlier. Increased temperature, rainfall, and the opening of the Saint-Lawrence Seaway should also break ice-bridges around insular colonies thus supplying secure nest sites earlier in the season. We thus predicted an advance in the timing of breeding as a response to an earlier arrival and an increase in temperature.

**METHODS**

**Study area**

We conducted our study in the Montreal metropolitan community (CMM) that covers 4360 km² and is home to ~3.7 million people. Land use includes farmland (55% of the total area), woodlots (21%), urban areas (18%), and waterways (5%; Patenaude-Monette et al. 2014). The majority of Ring-billed Gulls nest in five colonies located on islands in the Saint-Lawrence River. The breeding phenology was studied in three colonies located within 50 km of each other and that supported over 90% of the nesting pairs. Île Deslauriers (45.712°N, 73.440°W) located 3 km northeast of Montréal is the largest colony with 44,000-51,000 pairs during the study. The second colony located just south of Montréal is Île de la Couvée (45.474°N, 73.506°W). Gulls colonized the island in the early 1970s but abandoned it in 2007-2008. There were about 11,000 pairs during the study. Finally, Île de la Petite Colonie (45.890°N, 73.227°W) is part of the Îles de Contrecoeur National Wildlife Area located 27 km northeast of Montréal and supported 8600 pairs at the time of the study.

The first Ring-billed Gulls arrive in the Montreal area in early to mid-March and they occupy the breeding colonies from April to July. A significant proportion of breeders disperse in July and August downstream along the Saint-Lawrence River, upstream toward the Great Lakes region, or directly to the USA Atlantic Coast before reaching their wintering grounds that range from Massachusetts to Florida (Pollet et al. 2012; C. Girault and J-F. Giroux, personal observation).

**Arrival date**

We used data from Étude des Populations d’Oiseaux du Québec (EPOQ) to determine spring arrival dates of Ring-billed Gulls in southern Québec between 1971 and 2012 (Dunn et al. 1996). This database is made up of checklists filled by volunteer observers who report the number of birds by species seen or heard at a specific location on a single day. We selected checklists submitted during March and April for the region that included the main Ring-billed Gull colonies within the CMM. The focal region was determined by merging the areas located within a 63-km radius around each colony, which corresponds to the maximum direct foraging distance traveled by nesting gulls (Patenaude-Monette et al. 2014). The annual number of checklists with a Ring-billed Gull sighting for these two months averaged 234 ± 150 (± SD; range: 15-490). Detection probabilities are expected to vary according to observers, survey duration, environmental conditions, and spatial coverage. Nevertheless, Ring-billed Gulls should have a high probability of detection because they are conspicuous, easy to identify, and relatively abundant. The large number of observers in the CMM and their high participation during spring migration should guarantee a minimum sampling effort and a representative coverage regardless of annual variation in weather conditions.

Because a few Ring-billed Gulls winter in southern Québec, we could not assess changes in spring arrival by modeling date of the first checklist with a Ring-billed Gull sighting. Furthermore, first arrival is strongly influenced by outliers, sampling effort, and population size (Miller-Rushing et al. 2008). Instead, we estimated the population-level arrival date by computing the cumulative number of lists with at least one sighting of Ring-billed Gull between 1 March and 30 April and used the date at which 50% of the lists had reported the species as an approximation of the median arrival date. We could not distinguish sightings of Ring-billed Gulls breeding in the study area from those en route to other breeding sites or of nonbreeding birds. However, 86% of all Ring-billed Gulls breeding in southern Québec are found within the CMM (Cotter et al. 2012).
Laying date
We monitored the breeding chronology of Ring-billed Gulls on Île de la Couverè in 1978 and 2000 (PM), on Île de la Petite Colonne in 1979 (PM), and on Île Deslauriers from 2009 to 2012 (FR, FL, and MP-M). Because of the proximity of these colonies, we assumed that the nesting birds were exposed to similar climatic conditions. Three to eight circular plots of 2.5-5.0 m in radius were established in each colony using the multiple visits methodology described by Mousseau (1984). Plots were visited as soon as the first eggs were laid and every 2-4 days thereafter until the young had fledged. Each nest was identified with a numbered flag and eggs were numbered in laying sequence with a nontoxic permanent marker. At each visit, new nests, the number of eggs in the marked nests, and the fate of the marked eggs were recorded. We assumed that a nest with one egg had been initiated earlier that day and the date was considered the clutch initiation date. Nests found with two or more freshly laid eggs were backdated assuming a laying interval of two days (Pollet et al. 2012). Nests that were first located after incubation commenced and that had a known hatching date were included in the sample by subtracting 27 days from the hatching date assuming that partial incubation starts after the first laid egg (Pollet et al. 2012). We monitored 128-386 nests each year (mean = 276; SD = 95) for a total of 1932 nests with a known initiation date (± 1 day) during the seven years. For each year, we computed the median nest initiation date of the marked nests.

Environmental variables
We calculated mean temperature, total snowfall, and total rainfall for March and April 1971-2012 using daily observations from six meteorological stations located throughout the CMM on both shores of the Saint-Lawrence River (Dorval, Joliette, Lachute, Sainte-Martine, Saint-Hubert, and Saint-Jérôme). Data were retrieved from Environment Canada website (http://climate.weather.gc.ca/index_e.html#access). The annual opening dates of the Saint-Lawrence River Seaway were used to determine the timing of ice break-up on the river and were obtained from the St. Lawrence Seaway Management Corporation (St. Lawrence Seaway Management Corporation 1992, 2012).

Statistical analyses
We first fit a linear model between spring arrival date and year to test whether Ring-billed gulls arrived progressively sooner in southern Québec. To determine factors that may explain change in gulls’ arrival date over time, we used the approach described by Smith and Gaston (2012). We built a series of 17 linear models based on our a priori predictions that temperature and precipitation should affect arrival date by modifying food availability. The models included the main effects of mean daily temperature recorded in March-April (MTMA), total snowfall in March-April (TSMA), total rainfall in March-April (TRMA), and the opening date of the Saint-Lawrence Seaway (SLSO). Because temperature was our primary interest, we added MTMA to the other environmental variables to test additive effects. Because some variables showed linear trends over time, there was potential for spurious correlations and we therefore used the residual regression method (Graham 2003). Detrended variables were considered by using residuals of linear models that regressed the environmental variables against year (Grosbois et al. 2008).

RESULTS
Spring arrival
We found a significant negative trend of spring arrival date of Ring-billed Gulls in southern Québec between 1971 and 2012 (β = -0.126 ± 0.030; r² = 0.31, F1,40 = 18.0, P < 0.001; Fig. 1A). The linear model showed that gulls arrived 0.13 ± 0.03 day sooner each year. The predicted median arrival date advanced by five days during the 42-year period and was 8 April 1971 (leap year) compared with 14 April 1971. The top model to explain variation in arrival date included mean daily temperature in March-April and a year effect (Table 1). The next three models that had nearly as much support included these two variables plus total snow and total rain in March-April and the opening date of the Saint-Lawrence Seaway. These four models together had a cumulative ω² of 0.84 and were superior to both the null model and the model with a linear temporal trend only (Table 1).

Mean temperature in March-April and the opening date of the seaway showed significant temporal trends and were correlated to each other (β = -1.408 ± 0.437; r² = 0.20, F1,40 = 10.4, P = 0.003). In a second set of models, we thus used detrended values for these two variables to look only at fluctuations from the trend over time. Ranking of top models using detrended values was similar to the ranking of models based on original variables (Table A1.1). This lent further support that temperature explained variation in arrival date. However, the inclusion of YEAR in both top models indicated that other unmeasured variables that also showed a trend over time during the study period may have an effect.

Based on the regression slope, the median spring arrival date has advanced by 1.0 ± 0.2 day for each warming of 1°C (β = -1.042 ± 0.191; r² = 0.43, F1,40 = 29.7, P < 0.001; Fig. 1B). Finally, the mean daily temperature recorded in March-April in southern Québec increased by 2.3°C between 1971 and 2012 (β = 0.056 ± 0.021; r² = 0.15, F1,40 = 7.2, P = 0.010; Fig. A1.1).
Fig. 1. Spring median arrival date of Ring-billed Gulls (*Larus delawarensis*) in southern Québec determined from Étude des Populations d’Oiseaux du Québec (EPOQ), 1971-2012, (A) and the relationship with mean daily temperature in March–April (B). The solid line represents the regression function while the dashed lines represent the 95% confidence intervals.

Table 1. Ranking of models explaining variation in median spring arrival date of Ring-billed Gulls (*Larus delawarensis*) in southern Québec determined from Étude des Populations d’Oiseaux du Québec (EPOQ), 1971-2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>ΔAICc</th>
<th>ωi</th>
<th>Log-Likelihood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MTMA + YEAR</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>-86.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTMA + TRMA + YEAR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.347</td>
<td>-85.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTMA + SLSO + YEAR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.353</td>
<td>-85.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTMA + TSMA + YEAR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.560</td>
<td>-86.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTMA + SLSO + TRMA + YEAR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.932</td>
<td>-85.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTMA + TSMA + SLSO + YEAR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.068</td>
<td>-85.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTMA + TSMA + TRMA + YEAR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.071</td>
<td>-85.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTMA + SLSO</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.263</td>
<td>-90.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTMA + TRMA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.574</td>
<td>-89.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTMA + TSMA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.118</td>
<td>-90.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTMA + SLSO + TRMA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.461</td>
<td>-90.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTMA + TSMA + TRMA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.299</td>
<td>-89.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTMA + SLSO + TSMA + TRMA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.923</td>
<td>-89.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTMA + TSMA + TRMA + YEAR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.541</td>
<td>-90.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YEAR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.968</td>
<td>-94.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSMA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21.992</td>
<td>-98.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLSO</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26.307</td>
<td>-100.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRMA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27.269</td>
<td>-102.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Null</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>39.230</td>
<td>-101.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Ranking of models explaining variation in the median laying date of Ring-billed Gulls (*Larus delawarensis*) at Île de la Couvée (1978, 2000), Petite Colonie (1979), and Île Deslauriers (2009-2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>ΔAICc</th>
<th>ωi</th>
<th>Log-Likelihood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MTA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>-9.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA + SLSO</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.331</td>
<td>-5.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA + YEAR</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.450</td>
<td>-6.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA + TRA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.008</td>
<td>-8.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA + MAD</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.600</td>
<td>-9.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>null</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.050</td>
<td>-20.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YEAR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.930</td>
<td>-16.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.649</td>
<td>-17.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLSO</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.273</td>
<td>-18.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20.578</td>
<td>-19.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA + SLSO + TRA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45.146</td>
<td>-4.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA + MAD + SLSO</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>46.073</td>
<td>-4.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA + TRA + YEAR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>47.848</td>
<td>-5.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA + SLSO + YEAR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>47.993</td>
<td>-5.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA + MAD + YEAR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>49.985</td>
<td>-6.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA + TRA + MAD</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>53.417</td>
<td>-8.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Laying date

Laying dates tended to advance with time ($\beta = -0.231 \pm 0.087; r^2 = 0.59, F_{1,5} = 7.06, P = 0.045; $ Fig. 2A) and the model predicted that gulls laid their eggs eight days earlier in 2012 (last year with data) compared with 1978 (first year with data). However, the relationship became nonsignificant when removing the 1978 data point ($\beta = -0.130 \pm 0.098; r^2 = 0.30, F_{1,4} = 1.75, P = 0.256$). The best model to explain variation in laying date included mean temperature in April ($\omega_i = 0.94$; Table 2). It showed that for each increase of 1°C, the laying date advanced by 2.5 ± 0.3 days ($\beta = -2.542 \pm 0.252; r^2 = 0.95, F_{1,5} = 102.0, P < 0.001; $ Fig. 2B).
FIG. 2. Median nest initiation date of Ring-billed Gulls (Larus delawarensis) at Île de la Couvée (1978, 2000), Petite Colonie (1979), and Île Deslauriers (2009-2012) (A), and the relationship with mean daily temperature in April (B). The solid line represents the regression function while the dashed lines represent the 95% confidence intervals.

other models that included opening date of the Saint-Lawrence Seaway, total rainfall in April, and arrival date had little support (cumulative $\omega_i = 0.06$).

Using the 1978-2012 data, only the seaway opening date showed a significant trend over time. We thus built another set of models using detrended values for SLSO and confirmed that the model with mean daily temperature in April still had the greatest support (Table A1.2).

DISCUSSION

Strong competition for territories and low mortality risk after arrival on the breeding grounds should favor an early optimal arrival date in birds (Jonzén et al. 2007). This applies to Ring-billed Gulls that usually nest on mammalian-free insular colonies of several thousand pairs that must establish and defend territories. With warming spring temperature over the last four decades, the gulls now arrive five days earlier on their breeding grounds in southern Québec. However, the correlation between temperature and phenology cannot be necessarily interpreted as a causal relationship as indicated by the inclusion of year in the top models. This suggests that other environmental variables that showed similar temporal trends could influence arrival date. Ring-billed Gulls also advanced their laying date between 1978 and 2012 and this was strongly associated with mean daily temperature in April. Because laying date advanced twice as rapidly than arrival date for a similar warming of temperature, the prelaying period must be shorter.

We found no correlation between snowfall in spring and arrival or laying dates. Vermeer (1970) observed that the arrival of Ring-billed Gulls in Alberta was not associated with snow cover but possibly with a minimal air temperature threshold. Increased temperatures can accelerate snowmelt and free up nest sites earlier. The islands that support the gull colonies become safer after ice break-up because they are less accessible to mammalian predators. The opening of the Saint-Lawrence Seaway took place 11 days earlier in 2012 than in 1971 and this variable was correlated with median arrival date but not laying date. In general, ice break-up takes place shortly after arrival of the first individuals and 2-3 weeks before laying. Nevertheless, earlier ice break-up on the Saint-Lawrence River provides additional food resources such as invertebrates, small fish, and various refuse during the prelaying period. Pairs are often seen loafing on small pieces of floating ice or feeding on debris piled up along the shores (J.-F. Giroux, personal observation).

Marteinson et al. (2015) found that field metabolic rate of Ring-billed Gulls was affected when birds were exposed to temperatures below their lower critical temperature (LCT), a threshold under which heat loss exceeds energy production at rest. Climate warming during the past decades has probably reduced the amount of time that gulls spent below LCT, thus lessening their energy requirements and possibly the amount of food needed.

Sightings and satellite tracking of Ring-billed Gulls marked in Québec colonies indicate that a significant proportion of birds winter in Massachusetts, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and Virginia (C. Girault and J.-F. Giroux, personal observation). This represents distances of 450-1000 km to the breeding grounds, which clearly categorizes this species as a short-distance migrant (Butler 2003). Several studies have reported that birds migrating over short distances have advanced their arrival date on the breeding grounds more than long-distance migrants although this is not a universal rule (Gienapp et al. 2007, Végvári et al. 2010, Knudsen et al. 2011, Saino et al. 2011). Nonetheless, it appears that Ring-billed Gulls are able to track temperature changes on the breeding grounds from their wintering areas. However, it is unknown whether the birds winter further north as a result of climate warming. The effect of temperature on the
departure date from the wintering areas in early spring is also
unknown and will be investigated through our satellite tracking.

Based on a meta-analysis including the omnivorous Yellow-
legged Gull (\textit{Larus michahellis}), Végvári et al. (2010) concluded
that generalist species with a varied diet were advancing their
arrival date in response to warming to a greater extent than
specialists. We have no specific information on habitat use and
diet of Ring-billed gulls while en route to their breeding grounds
or during prelaying. However, they have a diverse diet during the
breeding period and there is some indication based on isotope
analyses that Ring-billed gull individuals may conserve dietary
habits throughout this period (Brousseau et al. 1996, Caron-
Beaudoin et al. 2013). We can thus assume that Ring-billed Gulls
have access to, and feed on a variety of items during migration
and upon arrival on the breeding grounds, which may facilitate
the advancement of migration timing and laying in response to
increasing temperatures.

Patenaude-Monette et al. (2014) determined that nesting Ring-
billed Gulls preferentially forage on earthworms and seeds found
in agricultural fields that become snow free and thus accessible
earlier with warmer spring temperatures. Precipitations also
influence arrival but not laying dates. However, no temporal
trends were established for either the total amount of snow or
rain in March-April. Rainfall and thus soil humidity are
associated with a greater earthworm availability (Sibly and
McClery 1983). As soils dry out with warmer temperatures,
earthworms move deeper into the soil where they are less
accessible to gulls until they become temporarily available when
soil preparation, e.g., ploughing, harrowing, diskng, takes place.
This is accomplished by heavy machinery that can only operate
when fields are sufficiently dry. There is therefore a complex and
dynamic process that links weather, food availability in
agricultural fields, and gull phenology. Ring-billed Gulls also
select landfills and waste transhipment sites where they feed on
refuse (Patenaude-Monette et al. 2014). These food sources are
always available but easier to obtain when temperatures are above
freezing. It is therefore unlikely that by advancing their laying
date, Ring-billed Gulls have access to less food resources for them
or their offspring. This reduces the possibility of a mismatch
between the timing of breeding and the peak of food abundance,
which has been associated to population declines of several

The number of Ring-billed Gulls in the colonies located within
the CMM has not followed a monotonous trend with temperature.
It increased from 22,000 breeding pairs in the late 1970s to 96,000
in the mid-1990s and then declined to 76,000 in 2012 (Giroux et
al. 2016). The decline has been attributed to a reduction in chick
survival between the timing of breeding and the peak of food abundance,
which is associated with population declines of several

In conclusion, Ring-billed Gulls appear to adjust their timing of
arrival on the breeding grounds and laying in response to
increased temperatures. Because of their diverse diet, the gulls
always have access to some food resources during the breeding
period reducing the possibility of a mismatch between phenology
and food abundance. Continuous warming may increase the use
of agricultural lands before and during the breeding period.
However, this does not appear to allow the gulls to compensate
for a reduction of refuse accessibility at landfills that may
contribute to a general population decline. We do not know
whether Ring-billed Gulls leave southern Québec earlier for their
postbreeding dispersal or fall migration, especially if they fledge
young earlier. If they leave earlier, their total time in Québec would
be the same. On the other hand, if they leave at the same time or
later because of mild weather, their presence would be longer and
this may generate more nuisance problems (Thieriot et al. 2015),
which could represent an indirect effect of global warming.
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Appendix 1 Supplemental material for the paper “Changes in spring arrival date and timing of breeding of Ring-billed Gulls in southern Quebec over four decades” by Jean-François Giroux, Martin Patenaude-Monette, Florent Lagarde, Pierre Mousseau, and François Racine.

Table A1.1. Ranking of models explaining variation in median spring arrival date of Ring-billed Gulls in southern Quebec determined from Étude des Populations d’Oiseaux du Québec (EPOQ), 1971-2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model†</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>ΔAICc</th>
<th>ωi</th>
<th>Log-Likelihood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Res_MTMA + YEAR</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-86.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res_MTMA + TRMA + YEAR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.347</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-85.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res_MTMA + Res_SLSO + YEAR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.354</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-85.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res_MTMA + TSMA + YEAR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.561</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res_MTMA + Res_SLSO + TRMA + YEAR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.934</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-85.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res_MTMA + TSMA + TRMA + YEAR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.071</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-85.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res_MTMA + TSMA + Res_SLSO + YEAR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.071</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-85.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YEAR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.977</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-94.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res_MTMA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.997</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-96.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res_MTMA + Res_SLSO</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21.308</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-96.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res_MTMA + TRMA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21.313</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-96.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res_MTMA + TSMA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21.344</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-96.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res_MTMA + TSMA + TRMA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23.763</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-96.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res_MTMA + TSMA + Res_SLSO</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23.778</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-96.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res_MTMA + Res_SLSO + TRMA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23.809</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-96.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSMA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26.316</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-100.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Null</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27.278</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-102.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRMA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29.238</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-101.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res_SLSO</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29.240</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-101.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Res_MTMA: residuals of mean temperature in March-April regressed against year;
Res_SLSO: residuals of St. Lawrence Seaway opening date regressed against year;
TRMA: total rain in March-April; TSMA: total snow in March-April; YEAR: year; the lowest AICc was 181.109 and N= 42.
Table A1.2. Ranking of models explaining variation in the median laying date of Ring-billed Gulls at Ile de la Couvée (1978, 2000), Petite Colonie (1979), and Île Deslauriers (2009-2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model†</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>ΔAICc</th>
<th>(\omega_i)</th>
<th>Log-Likelihood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MTA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>-9.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA + YEAR</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.450</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-6.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA + RES_SLSO</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.302</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-8.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA + TRA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.008</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-8.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA + MAD</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.600</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-9.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>null</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.050</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-20.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YEAR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.930</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-16.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.650</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-17.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20.578</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-19.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RES_SLSO</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20.919</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-19.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTMA + RES_SLSO + YEAR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>46.514</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA + TRA + YEAR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>47.848</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-5.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA + MAD + YEAR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>49.985</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-6.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA + TRA + RES_SLSO</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50.970</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-7.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA + MAD + RES_SLSO</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>52.004</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-7.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA + TRA + MAD</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>53.417</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-8.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†MTA: mean daily temperature in April; RES_SLSO: residuals of St. Lawrence Seaway opening date regressed against year; TRA: total rain in April; MAD: median arrival date; YEAR: year; the lowest AICc was 33.05 and N = 7.
Fig. A1.1. Mean daily temperature in March-April recorded at five stations in southern Quebec (Dorval, Joliette, Lachute, Sainte-Martine, Saint-Hubert, Saint-Jérôme), 1971-2012. The solid line represents the regression function while the dashed lines represent the 95% confidence intervals.