

1 **Appendix 1.** Model rankings for Pradel parameterization for residency and recruitment of hatch-
2 year Roseate Terns during the 2014 and 2015 staging seasons at Cape Cod National Seashore,
3 MA. We fit a series of models for each parameter, beginning with the detection probability (p)
4 while we used the most general parameterization (fully year*region*week varying) for the other
5 model parameters (Φ and f ; Table A1.1). We repeated this model selection procedure using the
6 best-supported models from previous steps to fit models for the remaining parameters (residency
7 probability, Φ ; Table A1.2 and recruitment rate, f ; Table A1.3).

8 Table A1.1. Pradel survival and recruitment model rankings for p , detection probability. We constrained the time-varying detection
9 probabilities such that our first two and final two detection probabilities were equal (e.g., $p_1=p_2$ and $p_9=p_{10}$). We modeled the most
10 general parameterization (time * year * region) for the residency (Φ) and recruitment (f) parameters and used the best-supported
11 model for detection probability (p) from this model selection process in subsequent model selection steps for each of the remaining
12 parameters.

Model [†]	QAICc [‡]	Δ QAICc	w_i [§]	Likelihood	K [¶]	Deviance [#]
$\Phi(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7782.15	0	0.68	1	89	641.91
$\Phi(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})p(\text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7785.13	2.98	0.15	0.23	74	676.03
$\Phi(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})p(\text{year} * \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7785.65	3.50	0.12	0.17	76	672.41
$\Phi(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})p(\text{year} + \text{time} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7787.27	5.12	0.05	0.08	82	661.59
$\Phi(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})p(\text{time} * \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7796.59	14.44	0	0	88	658.43
$\Phi(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})p(\text{time} * \text{region} + \text{year})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7798.09	15.94	0	0	89	657.84
$\Phi(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})p(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7802.39	20.24	0	0	104	630.8
$\Phi(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})p(.)f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7806.62	24.47	0	0	73	699.59
$\Phi(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})p(\text{year} * \text{time})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7807.23	25.08	0	0	88	669.07
$\Phi(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})p(\text{time})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7807.53	25.38	0	0	80	686.00
$\Phi(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})p(\text{Time}^2)f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7808.04	25.89	0	0	74	698.94
$\Phi(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})p(\text{Time})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7808.62	26.47	0	0	74	699.51

$\Phi(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})p(\text{year})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7811.73	29.58	0	0	74	702.62
---	---------	-------	---	---	----	--------

13

14 †Model parameterization, where Φ is the residency parameter, p is the detection probability, and f is the recruitment rate parameter.

15 Models tested included combinations of constant (.), week-varying (time), time trend (Time), quadratic time trend (Time²), year-
 16 varying, and region-varying detection probabilities. We modeled the most general parameterization (time * year * region) for the
 17 residency (Φ) and recruitment (f) parameters.

18 ‡Adjusted AICc values. A \hat{c} value of 2.03 was applied to the dataset to correct for overdispersion of the data.

19 §QAICc model weight

20 ‖Model likelihood

21 ¶Number of parameters included in the model

22 #Model deviance

23 Table A1.2. Pradel survival and recruitment model rankings for residency probability (Φ), using the best-supported model from our
 24 previous model selection procedure for detection probability (p ; Table A1.1). We constrained the detection probability such that our
 25 first two and final two detection probabilities were equal (e.g., $p_1=p_2$ and $p_9=p_{10}$) so that all parameters would be identifiable. We
 26 modeled the most general parameterization (time * year * region) on the recruitment rate (f) parameter in all models in this step.

Model [†]	QAICc [‡]	Δ QAICc	w_i [§]	Likelihood	K [¶]	Deviance [#]
$\Phi(\text{Time}^2)p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7729.22	0	0.81	1.00	55	659.25
$\Phi(\text{Time})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7732.17	2.96	0.18	0.23	55	662.21
$\Phi(\text{time})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7739.79	10.57	0	0.01	62	655.44
$\Phi(\text{time} + \text{year} + \text{region})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7743.89	14.68	0	0	64	655.43
$\Phi(\text{time} * \text{region})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7754.91	25.69	0	0	71	652.00
$\Phi(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7755.30	26.09	0	0	72	650.34
$\Phi(\text{time} * \text{region})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7756.30	27.08	0	0	71	653.39
$\Phi(\text{time} * \text{region} + \text{year})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7758.29	29.07	0	0	72	653.32
$\Phi(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7782.15	52.94	0	0	89	641.91
$\Phi(.)p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7801.05	71.84	0	0	54	733.14
$\Phi(\text{region})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7802.37	73.16	0	0	55	732.41
$\Phi(\text{year})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7802.40	73.19	0	0	55	732.44
$\Phi(\text{year} * \text{region})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7805.51	76.29	0	0	57	731.44

27

28 †Model parameterization, where Φ is the residency probability, p is the detection probability, and f is recruitment rate. Models tested
29 included combinations of constant (.), week-varying (time), time trend (Time), quadratic time trend (Time²), year-varying, and region-
30 varying Φ probabilities. We used the best model from the detection probability model selection procedure, fully time-by-year-by-
31 region varying p , and we modeled the most general parameterization (time * year * region) on the recruitment rate (f) parameter in all
32 models in this step.

33 ‡Adjusted AICc values. A \hat{c} value of 2.03 was applied to the dataset to correct for overdispersion of the data.

34 §QAICc model weight

35 †Model likelihood

36 ¶Number of parameters included in the model

37 #Model deviance

38

39 Table A1.3. Pradel survival and recruitment model rankings for recruitment rate (f), using the best-supported model from our previous
40 model selection procedure for detection probability (p ; Table A1.1) and the two best-supported models for residency probability (Φ ;
41 Table A1.2). We constrained the detection probability such that our first two and final two detection probabilities were equal (e.g.,
42 $p_1=p_2$ and $p_9=p_{10}$) so that all parameters would be identifiable.

Model [†]	QAICc [‡]	Δ QAICc	w_i [§]	Likelihood	K [¶]	Deviance [#]
$\Phi(\text{Time}^2)p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} + \text{year} + \text{region})$	7726.88	0	0.51	1.00	30	707.90
$\Phi(\text{Time}^2)p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7729.22	2.33	0.16	0.31	55	659.25
$\Phi(\text{Time}^2)p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{region} + \text{year})$	7729.77	2.88	0.12	0.24	38	694.53
$\Phi(\text{Time})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} + \text{year} + \text{region})$	7729.94	3.06	0.11	0.22	30	710.95
$\Phi(\text{Time})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} * \text{region})$	7732.17	5.29	0.04	0.07	55	662.21
$\Phi(\text{Time})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{region} + \text{year})$	7732.47	5.59	0.03	0.06	38	697.23
$\Phi(\text{Time}^2)p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{region})$	7733.78	6.89	0.02	0.03	37	700.57
$\Phi(\text{Time}^2)p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})$	7736.04	9.15	0.01	0.01	38	700.80
$\Phi(\text{Time})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{region})$	7737.08	10.2	0	0.01	37	703.88
$\Phi(\text{Time})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})$	7739.13	12.25	0	0	38	703.89
$\Phi(\text{Time}^2)p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{Time})$	7739.83	12.95	0	0	21	739.06
$\Phi(\text{Time}^2)p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time})$	7739.92	13.03	0	0	28	724.99
$\Phi(\text{Time})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time})$	7742.31	15.43	0	0	28	727.38

$\Phi(\text{Time})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{Time})$	7742.74	15.86	0	0	21	741.97
$\Phi(\text{Time}^2)p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year})$	7748.27	21.39	0	0	37	715.07
$\Phi(\text{Time})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{time} * \text{year})$	7751.02	24.13	0	0	37	717.82
$\Phi(\text{Time}^2)p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{Time}^2)$	7774.61	47.73	0	0	21	773.84
$\Phi(\text{Time})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{Time}^2)$	7777.51	50.62	0	0	21	776.73
$\Phi(\text{Time})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{year} * \text{region})$	7843.23	116.35	0	0	23	838.42
$\Phi(\text{Time}^2)p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{year} * \text{region})$	7845.96	119.08	0	0	23	841.15
$\Phi(\text{Time})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{region})$	7848.94	122.06	0	0	21	848.17
$\Phi(\text{Time})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(.)$	7850.15	123.27	0	0	20	851.40
$\Phi(\text{Time}^2)p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{region})$	7851.55	124.67	0	0	21	850.78
$\Phi(\text{Time})p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{year})$	7852.12	125.24	0	0	21	851.35
$\Phi(\text{Time}^2)p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(.)$	7852.85	125.97	0	0	20	854.10
$\Phi(\text{Time}^2)p(\text{time} * \text{year} + \text{region})f(\text{year})$	7854.71	127.82	0	0	21	853.93

43

44 †Model parameterization, where Φ is the residency probability, p is the detection probability, and f is recruitment rate. Models tested
45 included combinations of constant ($.$), week-varying (time), time trend (Time), quadratic time trend (Time^2), year-varying, and region-
46 varying Φ probabilities. We used the best model from the detection probability model selection procedure, fully time-by-year-by-
47 region varying p , and we used the two best-supported model from the residency probability model selection procedure (Time - and
48 Time^2 -varying Φ) to fit models for f .

49 ‡Adjusted AICc values. A \hat{c} value of 2.03 was applied to the dataset to correct for overdispersion of the data.

50 §QAICc model weight

51 ‖Model likelihood

52 ¶Number of parameters included in the model

53 #Model deviance